UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| RANDY HANCO | CK | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Plaintiff(s) | CIVIL ACTION |
| V. | | |
| PRINCETON PR | ROPERTIES ET AL. | NO. <u>04-10781-DPW</u> |
| | Defendant(s) | |
| | ORDER | OF REFERENCE |
| | <u>ALTERNATIVE</u> | FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION |
| After cor | sultation with counsel and after | consideration of the various alternative dispute resolution |
| programs (ADR) | available, I find this matter appr | ropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer this case to |
| ADR PROVIDER | | for the following ADR program: |
| | SCREENING CONFERENCE | EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION |
| <u>X</u> | MEDIATION | MINI-TRIAL |
| | SUMMARY JURY TRIAL | SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE |
| | SPECIAL MASTER | |
| | PRIVATE ALTERNATIVE DIS | PUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM |
| Upon no | tification of the time and place d | lesignated for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be |
| present with their | r clients or with appropriate settl | lement authority and to provide any written documentation |
| which may be red | quired for the particular ADR pro | ogram. If counsel is engaged on trial or has any |
| scheduling confli | ct and a continuance becomes | necessary, the provider of the ADR program and other |
| counsel should b | e advised of the conflict immedi | iately. |
| August 24, 20 DATE | 005 | MAGISTRATE JOYCE ALEXANDER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | CAS | <u>E CATEGORY</u> |
| Admiralty | | Antitrust |
| Civil Rights | | Contract |
| Copyright/Trader | mark/Patent | Environmental |
| ERISA | | FELA |
| Labor Relations Personal Injury | X | Medical Malpractice Product Liability |
| Shareholder Disp Other | <u></u> | Floduct Liability |
| | | |

[orefadr.]

(ADR Referral.wpd - 4/12/2000)